



May 15 2007

Henry A. Waxman
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
2157 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington DC 20515

cc: Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform

Dear Mr. Waxman,

I am writing to urge investigation into criminal misconduct, rights violations and fraud that is occurring under a highly classified "Special Access Program" (SAP) initiated by the Executive Branch and Department of Defense. The highly classified program has former NSA Director Michael Hayden, Col. Geoffrey Ling of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), William Heetderks and F.T. Hambrecht of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and Defense contractor Alfred E. Mann (AEMF) developing implantable medical device technology for use in Defense and Intelligence applications.. The research efforts have been performed under waived/unacknowledged Special Access Program (SAP) classification and the "carved" mechanism removed the funding from the Defense budget effectively appearing as NIH and other contracts. According to Defense directive and policy, the "unacknowledged/waived" SAP classification eliminates the normal congressional reporting requirements, and I believe this program was initiated unlawfully without disclosure to Congress. In addition to Defense components named above, key personnel have been strategically appointed by the Bush Administration at various agencies allowing this program to continue in secrecy.

The technology being developed under this SAP includes submillimeter sized MEMS technology and non-ferrous materials to achieve a device size and construction which currently cannot be detected or localized by clinical medical personnel using available radiology techniques. Research efforts under this program have refined a technique for surreptitious cortical implantation using minimally invasive surgery. SAP personnel have demonstrated this technique in which retrograde amnesia properties inherent in Ketamine allow devices to be implanted without the consent or knowledge of the adversary or targeted subject. Research efforts have explored the use of this technology as a tactical weapon as well as an Intelligence tool. Under the SAP, a proprietary telemetry protocol has been developed that permits devices to be controlled and queried using bi-directional wireless data over a distance of many miles over FCC regulated RF spectrum. Most disturbing is the ability to use the devices to deliver Testosterone or any other pharmaceutical agent into the biological system of the subject. The application of this technology has transitioned from use as a tool against terrorism and is now focused on deployment for secret Intelligence operations and discrete strategic or political usage domestically. This recent shift to domestic use of the technology for political purposes and agenda has caused personnel affiliated with the SAP to question the motive and ethics of such use, but the secrecy of the program has such personnel bound to non-disclosure.

Continued...

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 2 of 12

When Russel Tice of the NSA attempted to disclose unlawful acts and rights violations being committed under Special Access to members of Congress, the NSA warned Tice that even members on the Intelligence subcommittee were not authorized to receive such highly classified information. It is clearly evident that this program is being concealed from Congress and is circumventing our Democratic system of checks and balances and separation of power. This technology, pursued for over a decade at the NIH/NINDS, has reached maturity and is being deployed secretly, unlawfully, and to the detriment of National Security and Democracy. The potential for abuse of this technology has been realized and is currently being exploited by the Executive branch. Immediate investigation is warranted and necessary.

I am in possession of significant direct evidence of felony criminal misconduct and rights violations occurring under this program and wish to come forward with full disclosure of facts. The evidence in my possession is substantial and includes:

- 1 Medical devices fabricated under Defense Special Access Program contract, and surgically removed by medical personnel following my involvement as a research subject.
- 2 Supporting medical records, documentation, pathology reports, and laboratory analysis of devices surgically recovered after implantation by SAP personnel.
- 3 Copies of contracts, quarterly progress reports (QPR's), research data, published papers, and documents including materials obtained under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) provisions pertaining to the classified SAP efforts.
- 4 FCC License applications, FCC records and confidential disclosure to FCC Chairman Kevin Martin from the Mann Foundation regarding Mann's governmental contractual obligations.
- 5 Patent applications and drawings of implantable device intellectual property submitted by SAP contract personnel to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- 6 Court records and transcripts from civil proceedings in which SAP personnel unlawfully misused National Security and SAP provisions to alter the outcome of legal proceedings and further, committed perjury in order to avoid disclosing misconduct which occurred as part of SAP activities and to maintain secrecy.
- 7 Correspondence and vendor testimony outlining fraudulent reporting and misappropriation of grant monies and federal funding. This evidence clearly shows fraudulent reporting and waste.
- 8 Additional documentation and fact which clearly show a focused, willful effort by the Executive Branch and SAP personnel to suppress evidence, obstruct my whistleblower efforts, and the unlawful use of National Security and SAP provisions to conceal criminal misconduct and avoid litigation.

I am uncertain as to what powers have been afforded to the Committee, and the highly classified unacknowledged/waived status of the SAP may prevent disclosure to the Committee. I will however attempt to provide useful information that is relevant and substantiated by fact to aid in your inquiry.

Continued...

The following information, combined with the articles of evidence listed above, provide basis and fact which warrant investigation and even subpoena if necessary. Please consider the following in determining the scope of your investigation:

1. **Failure to disclose the SAP to Congress as required.** On NIH contract #R01-HD39099-01 awarded to the Alfred Mann Institute, William Tang fails to disclose on his grant application a full-time appointment in Arlington as a DARPA program manager. I believe in this instance, the SAP “carved” mechanism allowed Defense research to occur under the auspices of an NIH/NICHHD contract. I also believe that when Congress approved this NIH budget, the NICHHD funds were earmarked for children's health issues, and the misuse of the funding for Defense technology and carved SAP research efforts constitutes misappropriation of federal funding and fraud. A copy of this grant application is enclosed as ***Article 1***.
2. **FCC compliance, confidential disclosure to the FCC regarding Mann Foundation governmental contractual obligations for implantable device development, and FCC involvement.** Compliance with FCC regulations has been problematic for the Mann Foundation and conflicted with the secrecy of the Defense SAP. Mann Foundation personnel had to use FCC licenses obtained in the names of friends and family members in order to comply. In 2002, criminal research efforts by the Mann Foundation were attributed to these licenses and caused LAPD Detectives to investigate. SAP protections were invoked and caused the LAPD investigation to be abandoned, but a remedy was desired in order to maintain SAP secrecy. Following a visit to the White House by Alfred Mann, President Bush appointed Kevin J. Martin as Chairman of the FCC. Just weeks after Kevin Martin's appointment as Chairman, the Mann Foundation submitted a request for special use of spectrum, rule changes, and request for confidential treatment. This request was immediately granted and allows the Mann Foundation to operate using spectrum directly next to “government use only” allocations, allows encryption not previously permitted, circumvents the normal licensing process, and allows unlawful research efforts to continue anonymously and without accountability. Most disturbing is the FCC efforts to present the rule changes and special use of spectrum to the public as “medical technology”. I am well versed in this technology and after close examination of documents obtained from the FCC, it is clearly evident that the changes being proposed and the special use of spectrum requested, is solely to accommodate the proprietary “Suspended Carrier” technology which is exclusive to the SAP submillimeter technology. The submillimeter devices developed under SAP are extremely unique, have no battery due to submillimeter size limitations, rely on RF Powering to charge a particle of tantalum as a capacitor, and as such, the requirements for telemetry differ substantially from typical medical devices which have adequate power supply.

Continued...

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 4 of 12

The rule changes were made necessary by the unique requirements of the Defense/NSA submillimeter devices and have nothing to do with needs of the clinical medical industry. As a Biomedical Engineering student, former IEEE member and FCC licensed operator (call sign KI6JJN), I can attest to this as fact. Additionally, examination of all responses to the FCC request for comments shows that over 90% off the respondents are affiliated with the Mann Foundation, Dept. of Defense, or William Heetderks. This is not medical technology and for the FCC to present it to the public as such and request public comment as it did constitutes fraud. Additionally, the FCC removed complaints from public record which were filed in 2002 regarding unlawful use of spectrum by the Mann Foundation and it's President Joseph H. Schulman (call sign K6BWA). Most recently, the FCC has failed to respond appropriately to new complaints submitted in writing regarding criminal use of FCC regulated spectrum by SAP and Mann Foundation personnel. The bottom line is that following Alfred Mann's White House visit, Bush appointed a Chairman who is sympathetic to Bush Administration agenda and has caused the FCC to compromise their integrity and mandate. It is imperative that the Committee obtain a non-redacted copy of the Mann request and investigate the issues addressed in the attached correspondence with Sandra Lyn Bailey at the FCC. I have attached my redacted copy of the Mann request obtained under FOIA provision (heavily redacted), copies of correspondence with Chairman Martin and Sandra Lyn Bailey, and related documentation as **Article 2**.

3. **The Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation has significantly obstructed justice and succumbed to pressure from the Executive Branch to turn a blind eye to SAP activities, unlawful or otherwise.** Agents at the FBI who eagerly initiated investigations and took possession of substantial evidence allowed their investigations to be compromised, and abandoned all investigative effort after SAP personnel invoked protections to avoid being prosecuted. Correspondence with Alberto Gonzales, James McAtamney (DOJ cabinet level, criminal division) and FBI personnel clearly shows that the FBI and DOJ criminally obstructed justice, denied equal protection of the law, and failed to enforce the law, despite having direct knowledge of SAP criminal activity and having jurisdiction over the crimes. It is apparent that investigations were compromised unlawfully. Correspondence with the DOJ and FBI are attached as **Article 3**.
4. **Unlawful use of SAP provisions to deny records requested under FOIA statute and failure to comply with FOIA statute as required by law.** SAP's initiate March 1st annually and on February 27th I had a pending, active FOIA request into the Defense Freedom of Information Office. This office mailed a letter to me that they incorrectly addressed, but had the right zip code to insure it would be postmarked. When the letter that they incorrectly

Continued...

addressed was returned “undeliverable”, they used this as an excuse to completely close my FOIA request. No efforts were made to contact me using the cell phone number provided on all my correspondence. The enclosed documentation and correspondence clearly show that my FOIA request was unlawfully closed and suggests this was done to avoid initiating a March 1 SAP on a subject who is a requester of records. Further, record retention on SAP’s is only 12 months and since my SAP request had been active for months with no compliance, the unlawful closure of the request has caused requested records to fall off the scope regarding record retention. Further, the Dept. of Commerce has clearly failed to comply with a FOIA request seeking materials on one single NIST/ATP award. This office has used grossly inflated cost estimates as financial disincentive in order to avoid release of records (payment of more than \$5,500 for one contract was demanded, despite the fact the requester is a member of the media). Additionally, the NIH is struggling to comply with a FOIA request, however this is apparently due to removal of the requested records by the NSA/DoD, which is explained in the next section. Lastly, the DOJ, and FDA have both failed to provide a single record in response to FOIA requests. I have been forced to appeal all of these requests based on non-compliance. The failure to comply with FOIA in these instances is so significant and unlawful, it has been suggested that there is an Executive Order preventing release of the requested records because the records are related to this classified program. Something is very wrong here, as I have never encountered such obstructionism when requesting simple, broadly referenced materials from any federal agency. I have attached copies of the FOIA requests and correspondence as **Article 4.**

5. **Death of a Research Subject covered up.** A 1995 research effort at the NIH “Laboratory of Neural Control” (NINCDS) by F.T. Hambrecht, William Heetderks, Edward Schmidt, M.J. Bak et al, titled “Feasibility of a visual prosthesis for the blind based on intracortical microstimulation of the visual cortex” (published Brain, Volume 119 pages 507-522) involved the use of a 42-year old research subject who died following the conclusion of research efforts. Evidence suggests that unlawful research efforts contributed to her death and that personnel affiliated with the project concealed aspects of their research efforts and covered up the death of this research subject.

a) This study was initiated immediately following the refinement of “Suspended Carrier” telemetry protocol by Heetderks allowing research efforts to take place wirelessly even if the subject was outside of the lab.

b) Documentation states that “all extradural components were removed according to patient informed protocol”. Extradural means “outside the skull”. Intradural components were left implanted after the “conclusion” of the study and suspended carrier allowed research efforts to continue using this patient even after the “conclusion” of the study

Continued...

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
 House Committee on Oversight and Reform
 Page 6 of 12

c) When I requested records regarding this study, NIH FOIA Officers informed me that there was no record what so ever regarding this research project or this patient. No record existed at all. This study is cited in over 50 papers, by other research personnel, and in published medical journals. The research was performed in-house at the NIH by NIH personnel. Yet there is no record of this research.

d) The problems associated with this research effort caused a public statement to be issued by the NIH's Audrey Penn and an NIH decision to "abandon" further such efforts. Heetderks and Hambrecht were not about to give up however and a solution was to privatize the research through a series of private subcontracts issued to the private sector. Rather than perform further research in-house, Defense contractor Alfred E. Mann and Jet Propulsion Laboratory began working under contract awarded from Heetderks under NIH/NINDS funding.

I have enclosed my FOIA requests seeking information about the dead research subject and documentation regarding the death of this research subject, and material that references this research as **Article 5**.

6. **Misuse of National Security provisions to affect the outcome of civil and criminal judicial proceedings.** While not an issue that affects society as a whole, it is indicative of what this project seeks to hide and how SAP activities will far exceed what is considered lawful in order to further the agenda.
- a) SAP personnel have engaged in SAP activities which have directly caused me to incur criminal charges, resulting in loss of income and future earning potential. Each of the criminal charges I have faced resulted directly from SAP activities and each have been dismissed in the interest of justice or due to lack of evidence. Although there have not been convictions, the arrests cause me to miss work, I have been denied jobs based on the arrests, and the arrests have allowed law enforcement to search through a safe containing hard drives containing evidence, recovered NSA medical devices and FOIA documentation pertinent to this case. These efforts have caused me to suffer losses of evidence pertinent to this case. The most recent instance has me being arrested for "diversion of utility service" caused when SAP personnel employed a proprietary Defense computer technology to access my computer and evidence using existing residential home wiring. This technology employs a technique similar to the "syssec/getpass/screenblanker" technology software that could only be had by requesting the software on Defense letterhead in writing to the military base in Georgia. I have been lead to believe this technology capable of establishing a network connection to a users computer over existing residential electric wiring is related to Defense contractor MZM. Regardless, this recent instance of SAP activities has caused

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 7 of 12

- me to incur yet more criminal charges and I am maintaining that the secrecy of the program is preventing me from defending myself against the criminal charges. This recent and ongoing case is in Kern County CA. "East" district #RM030493A.
- b) When I filed civil suit against Defense Contractor Alfred E. Mann in 2002 in Los Angeles Superior Court #LC061991, I was falsely accused of crimes only days before a scheduled case status conference hearing, and because was attorney in pro per, it caused me to miss the hearing and a default judgment was awarded for the Defendant without any evidence ever being introduced. Upon refiling the case, SAP provisions were used to change the court calendar without notice and when I showed up on the correct day of the hearing, I was told that the matter was heard the prior day and again, because I was not present, a "default judgment" was entered. Even though I was there on the correct day, the calendar error caused a ruling on the case and my only recourse was to refile. I could not refile because Mann went in, again with no notice or evidence ever being presented, and gained a ruling that declared me a "vexatious litigant". SAP provisions played a role in this and the NSA successfully prevented any of the volumous evidence in my possession from being introduced. They are now continuing to attempt to gain access to the evidence and cause me to suffer losses of evidence.
- c) Gerald Loeb obtained both a temporary and a permanent restraining order against me without ever serving notice in a process which a "special visiting judge" was brought in. I have a transcript that seems absurdly fake in which no evidence was presented and about 3 lines of dialog resulted in issuance of said restraining order. The only thing I ever received via process serving is a copy of the permanent order after proceedings were over. The SAP/National Security provisions that brought in a "special" judge were invoked in order to prevent me from cross examining Loeb about classified material and introducing evidence that the NSA previously worked so hard at suppressing. This whole process was done unlawfully, without serving me notice.
- d) After receiving a threatening phone call from DARPA personnel Chris Compel and sustaining repeated remote computer intrusions using proprietary Defense technology causing me to suffer loss of evidence and corrupted system files which were preventing me from writing Congress and engaging in whistleblower efforts, I attempted to gain a restraining order against the Mann Foundation. Again, a "special" visiting judge named Barclay was brought in just for this special case. My evidence was compelling and volumous and included a signed statement from my Brother who fielded the call from DARPA personnel, record of Police reports documenting forced entry into my apartment, tampered electrical wiring, and computer log files showing remote intrusion efforts from USC university and IIT university (Loeb and Troyk). Further evidence was introduced that included phone call records and police log entries. This special judge denied my request for temporary orders and then willfully shortened the length of time

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 8 of 12

making it impossible to serve the defendants notice of hearing for permanent orders. I was given 12 days, which was shorted to 10 days when the court spent the next two days trying to "figure out how we enter the special judges initials into our computer system". I specifically indicated on the legal form as required that time for process serving via US Mail was necessary. Cook County Illinois (where IIT is) Sheriff requires 15 days in order to facilitate process serving. 12 Days was not enough time and resulted in the case being dismissed. SAP provisions are being abused unlawfully!!

Of further interest to the Committee is how the classified nature of the program and desire of the Executive Branch to further this agenda has affected numerous federal agencies and has negatively impacted public policy, betrayed public trust and has completely circumvented the checks and balances of our Democratic system. It is evident that selected individuals who are sympathetic to this agenda have been appointed by the Bush Administration in key positions throughout the Federal Government at their respective agencies. These include:

- 1 William Heetderks. Bush created the NIBIB in 2002 and appointed William Heetderks as Director of Extramural Research. In this position, Heetderks receives "carved" grant applications by Defense personnel in order to hide federal funding for these projects under the auspices of "medical technology". Additionally, and more significantly, this position is permitting Heetderks to dictate and control who receives funding for radiology research. This is a huge conflict of interest as Heetderks is the most significant contributor of the NSA's submillimeter device technology, is one of the inventors of the telemetry protocol, and his funding efforts at the NIH suggest he is willfully directing funding to personnel and radiology projects which do not threaten to upset the current ability of devices to go undetected by clinical medical personnel. At minimum, the conflict of interest warrants the appointment of new personnel in this position and is necessary to avoid conflict and maintain impartiality.
- 2 Alberto Gonzales. The Department of Justice, under the Direction of Alberto Gonzales, and the FBI have allowed pressures from the Executive Branch to compromise criminal investigations of SAP personnel, have concealed the existence of initiated investigations which were abandoned due to SAP protections, have acted as accessory to criminal misconduct, are derelict in their duties and mandate, have direct knowledge of the program and have failed to act for political reasons.
- 3 Kevin J. Martin. Only weeks after Bush appointed Kevin Martin as Chairman of the FCC and following a visit to the White House by Defense contractor Alfred Mann, the Alfred Mann Foundation requested special treatment and rule changes allowing for "experimental" use of spectrum for implantable device communications pertaining to governmental contractual obligations. The proposed rule changes allowed Mann access to spectrum that resides next to

“government use only” spectrum. Additionally, the Mann Foundation was allowed to circumvent the licensing process eliminating accountability for criminal SAP activities. In 2002, the Mann Foundation was held to the same licensing requirements as everyone else, and unlawful use of the spectrum by Mann Foundation personnel in 2002 caused them to be investigated by Detectives from the LAPD and FCC Enforcement. The FCC has also removed public record of the 2002 Mann Foundation misconduct.

- 4 Robert Greenberg. Robert Greenberg’s research efforts in the university environment were partially funded by the Mann Foundation. Then Greenberg became Director of 510k medical device approvals at the FDA where he approved many applications for Alfred E. Mann. Greenberg then became CEO of Mann founded “Second Sight LLC”. The previous Director of the FDA (Friedman) subsequently was named to Alfred Mann’s Board of Directors. In addition to being a conflict of interest, there is another issue. The use of implantable medical devices deployed in secret Defense and Intelligence operations required changes to the FCC spectrum in order to accommodate the special needs of Suspended Carrier. The plan was to gain FDA approval for the technology and then push the requested changes through regulatory, FDA and FCC as “medical technology”. The secrecy of the technology required that classified SAP personnel be involved with the regulatory process and this is why Greenberg was appointed at the FDA. The DoD and NSA did not want their “holy grail” of Intelligence technology to be scrutinized under the FDA “PMA” process, so special measures were implemented in an effort to push the technology through under 510k provisions that would only require Robert Greenberg’s approval. The result is a conflict of interest, fraud, and compromised medical device approvals at the FDA, all being perpetrated so the NSA and DoD could deploy this technology domestically.
- 5 Michael Hayden. Michael Hayden is criminally abusing National Security protections to further an agenda, avoid criminal prosecution, civil litigation and continues to operate with complete disregard for the law and the United States Constitution. His recent appointment at the CIA is questionable. The use of implantable medical device technology for Defense and Intelligence operations is questionable, but his appointment to a civilian agency such as the CIA substantiates that this technology is being criminally misused domestically.

Some pertinent facts:

- The proprietary telemetry protocol developed exclusively for long range communications between implanted devices and outside world is called “Suspended Carrier”, U.S. Patent #5,697,076.

Continued...

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 10 of 12

- Gerald E. Loeb, surgically trained in the surreptitious implantation procedure, worked at the NIH Laboratory of Neural Control when they implanted the 42-year old research subject who later died. William Heetderks was Deputy Director of the Laboratory of Neural Control. Apparently F.T. Hambrecht was “Principal Investigator” of the tragic study.
- Following the death of the research subject, Loeb left the NIH and went to the private sector working for the Mann Foundation. One of the first efforts was to substantiate and quantify the ability to hide implanted devices from radiology personnel. This was done in Canada with Dr. John Rossiter and funded by William Heetderks program.
- When Heetderks was at the NIH/NINDS, Loeb and Mann received their funding from the NINDS. Later, when Heetderks moved to the NIH/NICHD, Loeb and Mann received their funding from the NICHD. Then, when Bush created the NIBIB and put Heetderks in charge of radiology research funding, Loeb and Mann were under NIBIB contract that secretly involved DARPA personnel and all the while focusing on submillimeter technology that would avoid detection by clinical radiology personnel.
- The Mann/Loeb funding from Heetderks involved military resources from the beginning. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and the Tangs were involved as early as 1997 and perhaps as earlier. Alfred Mann has always been a Defense Contractor and his first federal contract came from the Dept of the Army for Tank weapons guidance.
- As FCC licensed operator call-sign KI6JJN and a Microsoft Certified A+ Technician, my RF packet capture and analysis suggests this program is using FCC regulated spectrum in the 216-225MHz range of spectrum, and additionally, SAP personnel are incorporating the satellite services of SES-Americom, a private contractor of satellite services based in MacLean VA. and headed by Retired Brigadier General Robert Tipton Osterthaler.
- The DARPA program of Col. Geoffrey Ling who is now also funding Gerald Loeb and Mann efforts has thrown over 20 million dollars into developing a prosthetic arm that is controlled by cortical electrodes implanted in the brain of the patient. The form letter campaign supporting Al Mann’s FCC rule changes, engaged in by Defense personnel from the ARMY, Veterans Administration, DARPA, Defense contractors, vendors, as well as William Heetderks grant recipients, all cite that “unless the FCC approves these rule changes, thousands of returning soldiers and amputee’s from the IRAQ conflict will be denied proper medical technology...” The Committee must investigate this as I know for a fact that this is not why they are requesting these rule changes.

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 11 of 12

It is not reasonable to assume this, as a cortically controlled prosthetic is so complex and expensive, it is not an option for returning amputees from the IRAQ conflict. Second, even if it was an option, it would not be disclosed to these soldiers that the government personnel initiating the program would have a bi-directional data link established with the cortical electrodes no matter where their geographic location might be. Third, I can't imagine any soldier barring those suffering from Post War Traumatic Stress Syndrome, would opt to let government personnel place electrodes into the cortex of their brain just because they've lost a limb or mobility in a limb. The alternative treatments are a much less scary option.

- Paul Meadows, a long time employee of the Mann Foundation is receiving SBIR loans for “water research” and funding from Heetderks to perform implantable device research and is also acting as a “global watchdog” as President of IFESS, an annual consistorium on functional electrical stimulation. If any technology similar to what the NSA and DoD has is developed in another country, chances are high that it would be presented at IFESS and the Executive Branch would be notified immediately through Meadows because all IFESS submissions would be submitted through his office.
- The Executive Branch has setup a firewall of obstructionism to prevent any similar technology from proliferating in the United States. An example is when William House attempted to market a smaller, single channel cochlear implant. This is complex and I could devote pages to it, but the FDA used unfair categorization and absurd PMA/510k approval process to obstruct device development commercially, and when ambitious entrepreneurs overcame these hurdles they were faced with really unfair Medicare/Medicaide obstructionism. Further, an absurd amount of intellectual property, almost everything resulting from all of the Billions of dollars of research at the NIH/NINDS, has been given to Defense Contractor Alfred Mann. Hundreds of patents, and Mann's job is to sit on them to deter any commercial players from marketing the technology and deliver it from obscurity. The Executive Branch has played a heavy hand in interfering with the FDA approval process significantly obstructing some approvals while others affiliated with Case Western, the V.A., and Mann have literally sailed through regulatory without a hitch. The two offset however and create an “average” that appears vaguely normal. I assure there is something wrong at the FDA and the military is playing a role that is too influential and compromising the FDA mandate.
- Yardney Technical Products in Pennsylvania recently accused the Alfred Mann Foundation of improper business practices and receiving preferential governmental treatment regarding a Defense contract bidding procedure that involved NIST/ATP, satellites and Argonne laboratory.
- Mann owned Quallion LLC recently had an antitrust filing to the DOJ and SEC disclosing the names and parties involved with a secret research venture that included Argonne National

Henry A. Waxman, Suzanne Renaud
House Committee on Oversight and Reform
Page 12 of 12

Laboratory and Technodyne. Materials under this project are being denied to me under FOIA request by the Dept. of Commerce and NIST. There is some very relevant secrets being harbored under this contract.

In closing, it is paramount that the Committee investigate the fraud, waste, abuse, and gross criminal misconduct taking place under this classified "neural prosthesis" program. The information I have provided above clearly indicates that investigation is warranted and necessary. I am respectfully urging the Commission to act with the authority granted to them and I thank you for taking the time to investigate these important matters.

Sincerely,

Dave Larson
1377 Tahoe Ave
Yucca Valley CA 92284
760 364-3632
760 793-8653

attachments: Articles of Evidence, Notebook Binder