



February 10 2007

Robert Mueller III  
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)  
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20535

Re: Written Allegation of Misconduct and Request for Inquiry

Please accept this letter as written notice of formal allegations of misconduct against Bakersfield California FBI Agent Tony Sorenson. The nature of the misconduct constitutes denial of due process in violation of the US Constitution, willful obstruction of justice, gross negligence in handling of evidence which compromised chain of custody of said evidence, and this Agent also allowed his investigation to be compromised by outside influences and engaged in "information warfare" tactics that constitutes slander and character assassination of a reporting party and crime victim. The facts detailing the misconduct are as follows:

1. On August 21<sup>st</sup> 2006, I spoke with Agent Sorenson by telephonic means from my residence in Ridgecrest CA and detailed ongoing criminal acts by NIH & DARPA supported research personnel affiliated with the Alfred Mann Foundation. Because the criminal acts were significant and involved misappropriation of federal funding, assault, identity and data theft, civil rights violations as well as criminal use of FCC regulated spectrum, Agent Sorenson agreed to look at the supporting evidence in the case which was substantial in volume and extremely detailed. A meeting was set for October 5 2006.
2. On Thursday, October 5<sup>th</sup> 2006, my Girlfriend Brandi Baker and I drove to Bakersfield from our residence in Ridgecrest and met with Agent Sorenson in person at the FBI Office located at 901 Tower Way. At this time, I turned over significant evidence and documentation pertinent to the case including an entire IDE hard drive from my computer. Personnel affiliated with the Mann Foundation and DARPA used proprietary software, hardware and technology (a variation of Posix Compliance to hide networked/symbolically linked drive letters and SYSSEC/GETPASS w/Screenblanker) only available through special provisions, to access my computer through ACPI/residential wiring and destroy evidence that was being compiled against the Alfred E. Mann Foundation and Colonel Geoffrey Ling of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. This little known network technique is sophisticated and is best diagnosed using the entire computer tower, however Agent Sorenson insisted that the hard drive alone would be sufficient. Agent Sorenson explained that the FBI personnel responsible for looking at the computer data was deployed in IRAQ for several weeks, but the evidence would be looked in a timely manner or as soon as the possible. The hard drive was turned over

*Continued...*

to him at this time along with other documents pertinent to the criminal acts.

3. On September 8<sup>th</sup> 2006, I sent a package via USPS Priority Mail with Delivery Confirmation to Agent Sorenson. This package contained even more evidence pertinent to the Alfred E. Mann Foundation and DARPA personnel affiliated with the Mann Foundation government contractual work. In total, the evidence provided to Agent Sorenson includes the following:
  - a) Copy of one of the NIH contracts awarded to Mann Foundation research personnel. This contract showed that grant applications submitted to William Heetderks had Defense personnel failing to disclose their defense duties and commitment and was done in order to conceal or “carve” Defense involvement as part of a classified “Special Access Project”. In short, Defense personnel took monies that were earmarked by Congress for the NICHD to cure Children's diseases and spent it on Defense and Intelligence technology.
  - b) Additional documents authored by Mann Foundation or government personnel or government supported personnel which explicitly outlines the development of implantable medical device technology that is millimeter and submillimeter in size, is injected via syringe into target muscle and nerve, and relies on FCC regulated spectrum between 216 ~ 225MHz for long distance power and data transfer as well as back telemetry.
  - c) A copy of the Alfred Mann Foundation request for confidential and special treatment submitted to the FCC which was obtained under FOIA provisions much of which was redacted and labeled as “sensitive” or secret and pertaining to Mann's governmental contractual obligations. The document outlines a proprietary telemetry protocol called “Suspended Carrier” and requests exemption from licensing requirements as well as other special treatment in order to perform “experimental” implantable medical device research related to Mann's governmental contractual obligations using 216 ~ 225 MHz as well as selected other frequencies up to 490MHz.
  - d) Copies of numerous earlier complaints made in writing in 2002 to the FCC regarding criminal use of spectrum by Mann Foundation President Joseph H. Schulman (K6BWA) who was performing unethical and criminal research using 224.840MHz. A copy of the FCC's response to the FOIA request that indicated there was no record of any complaints made against Mann or Schulman on FCC record. The USPS Delivery Confirmations, fax confirmation sheets, and email records all prove delivery of numerous complaints to the FCC, however there is no record because the transmissions were all tampered with or removed by another federal agency.
  - e) Physician documentation from several Hospitals documenting the surgical removal of recovered foreign bodies, subsequent Pathology reports describing the surgically recovered foreign bodies as being consistent with “mica”, a material known to be used in biomedical implants due to it's Mri compatibility, durability, and biocompatibility, Physician requests seeking nuclear medicine consults to localize “submillimeter neural prosthesis devices”, and other Physician documentation detailing numerous infections and inflammation resulting from the numerous residual/remaining devices. These remaining/residual devices continue to be used in a criminal manner by personnel affiliated

*Continued...*

with the Alfred E. Mann Foundation and their governmental contractual obligations.

- f) Private 3<sup>rd</sup> party laboratory analysis performed using Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) for materials characterization and identification of elemental composition. This EDS analysis identified recovered implantable devices as being constructed of Silicon (Si), the primary material used in the application specific integrated circuits (ASIC) used by the Alfred E. Mann Foundation during AWAM and MOSIS ASIC wafer runs.
- g) Photos of the recovered devices showing obvious ASIC and MEMS construction and features as well as the epoxy coating used by the Mann Foundation for long-term biocompatibility of the devices.
- h) Three US Patent Office filings and drawings submitted by the Mann Foundation and it's sister company Advanced Bionics which are compared to select photos of recovered devices. The photos of recovered devices are precise matches to the Mann Foundation patent submissions. The Patent submissions were made within only a few weeks of Gerald Loeb implanting the devices which were surgically recovered. These patent filings details devices which resemble the "submillimeter" work pertaining to their governmental contractual obligations and are much smaller than the commercially available "BION" device. The commercial "BION" device is enormous by comparison and is only partly related to their classified or "sensitive" governmental contract obligations.
- i) Documentation citing a previous research project, in which a research subject, who was implanted with 38 electrodes in her visual cortex, later died following the conclusion of the research project. The research personnel left hardware implanted in the visual cortex and now there is no record whatsoever that the research ever occurred, or that the patient-volunteer ever existed. The facts in this project are as follows:
  - I) The project was titled "*Feasibility of a visual prosthesis for the blind based on intracortical microstimulation of the visual cortex*", was performed in-house in Bethesda by NIH personnel at the Laboratory of Neural Control (NINCDS) of which William Heetderks was Deputy Director and the project was headed by Fred T. Hambrecht, Martin Bak and Edward Schmidt.
  - II) Documentation in my possession states that project was "frought with difficulties" "biocompatibility was an issue", "the patient died following the conclusion of the research project" and that "all extradural hardware was removed following patient informed protocol". The term "extradural" refers only to the hardware external the scalp and says nothing of the intracortical hardware that Hambrecht and Heetderks left implanted and which I believe caused her death.
  - III) Two requests made by myself under FOIA provisions to the National Institutes of Health, requesting information about this project, have resulted in FOIA Officers stating that "no records responsive to the request have been located". Further discussions with personnel at the NIH confirm that there are literally no records existing, or have been removed by another agency due to

*Continued...*

National Security.

This project was performed in-house by NIH personnel, is referenced in numerous medical journals and papers including "*Brain*, 119: 507-522 1996", is well documented, and the fact that these records "do not exist" confirm my allegations that research personnel at the NIH have been performing research under the auspices of "medical technology" that is in fact being performed under Special Access Project for the US Department of Defense for defense and Intelligence applications.

- IV) Further confirming that this patient volunteer died at the hands of research personnel is the fact that the conclusion of this project was followed by a public statement made by NINDS Acting Director Audrey Penn that the NIH was officially abandoning any further research efforts involving intracortical electrodes because the NIH could "not guarantee patient volunteers the efficacy or safety" of such studies.

This patient had residual/remaining implanted devices following conclusion of the research project, died under suspicious circumstance, and now no record of the research exists. It is my belief that this patient died due to the malicious nature of the Defendants research efforts and that record of such was "swept under the rug".

- j) Two (2) devices developed under Alfred Mann's governmental contractual obligations, and recovered from my person, were also provided to Agent Tony Sorenson. It was explicitly explained to Agent Sorenson that these devices were much smaller than the commercially publicized "BION" device of the Mann Foundation and microscopy was necessary to identify features due to the MEMS technology employed by the Mann Foundation and William and Tony Tang at JPL. These devices were provided for FBI analysis and I did not expect them to be returned. All of this was explicitly explained in talks and correspondence with Agent Sorenson.
  - k) A CD-ROM with approximately 1000+ photos of devices surgically recovered from my person. These devices number in the dozens and most remain cataloged and archived on lab slides for reference. These photos clearly show, even to the layman, that the devices are not biological, are semiconductor in nature, and warrant microscopic examination and detailed analysis in order to ascertain the identity of the devices.
4. On November 9<sup>th</sup> 2006, I sent a letter to Agent Sorenson informing him that my computer was compromised and evidence I was compiling against the Mann Foundation and Col. Geoffrey Ling of DARPA was compromised, and that this was hindering my efforts to prepare for our meeting. Additionally, this letter informed Agent Sorenson that my email communications were also being compromised and detailed an instance that just occurred the previous evening in which an email I sent to City Councilman Steve Morgan (who sits beside me on the Lion's Club Board of Directors), and which contained photos of horse-drawn buggies to be used in the Ridgecrest Christmas Parade, arrived in Councilman Morgan's inbox with pornography attached instead of the horse-drawn buggies. This was verified when Councilman Morgan phoned me and we compared what was sent in my Outbox. I later learned that job applications

*Continued...*

and resumes I had sent to potential employers was also similarly affected.

5. On December 1<sup>st</sup> 2006, I contacted Agent Sorenson and was told by Agent Sorenson that he could not help me. When I asked for an explanation, he stated "I can't discuss or disclose any details with you", when I stated that seemed suspicious and began to question him, he literally hung-up on me. This behavior seemed out of character for an FBI Agent and defied the working relationship Agent Sorenson and I had developed over the previous months. It is my opinion that Agent Sorenson allowed his investigation to be compromised or caved to pressure from an external agency. This is substantiated by his failure to offer a valid explanation for abandoning an investigation which is heavily supported by direct evidence and facts.
6. On December 9<sup>th</sup> 2006, I began experiencing problems with my broadband cable connection. Multiple calls to provider Mediacom all resulted in individuals identifying themselves as Mediacom personnel telling me that the problem was a "local outage" and would be corrected. This continued for 5 days until a local Mediacom employee in a "Data Theft Response" vehicle knocked on my door and told me that an individual in another apartment had been accessing my cable account and that it was the second instance he had had to fix the problem. Upon questioning, he verified that there was never any local outage, and that my problem was due to the other apartment occupant. The Ridgecrest Police Department did not want to become involved in the situation and initially refused to take a report. Throughout a day and a half, I was being told by RPD Officers that cable or identity theft was "not a crime" and it was not until I made 4 calls and 2 visits complaining of this, did RPD Detective Mike Myers agree to make an actual police report. On December 21 2006, I called Mediacom Corporate headquarters and sought information regarding my account and why I was being told there was a local outage when in fact there was no local outage. I was told that despite the "Privacy Notice" that made records available to other consumers pursuant to the ECPA and Cable Privacy Act, my account was special and records were only being released to law enforcement. Based on the events that occurred, the discussion with Mediacom, and the fact that Police initially refused to take a report or ever question the individual identified by local Mediacom personnel as perpetrating federal crimes that constitute felony misconduct, I have a well founded belief that the FBI initiated investigations against me, and that simultaneously someone was accessing my broadband cable account perpetrating unknown crimes while my complaints of being unable to access the Internet were being met with false claims of a "local outage". This stinks of misconduct and set-up and the fact that I cannot get any cooperation from local law enforcement or the FBI substantiates my accusations of failure to provide equal protection of the law and denial of due process as entitled to citizens under the US Constitution. Details of this are provided in a statement provided to RPD Detective Myers as part of RPD Police report #06-4509.
7. On January 26<sup>th</sup> 2007, I received a call from Agent Sorenson who called me claiming he needed "an address to send all this evidence back to you because the address I tried was undeliverable." This shocked me and I asked Agent Sorenson to refrain from shipping the box anywhere and that I would contact him in the following days. I could not believe that Agent

*Continued...*

Sorenson would negligently ship this evidence to an undeliverable address because there are concerns with "chain of custody" and the evidence which included the IDE hard drive and other items were crucial evidence in this case.

8. Three days later on January 29<sup>th</sup> 2007, I again drove to the Bakersfield FBI Office to pick up the box of evidence that Sorenson had previously attempted to ship somewhere. I was told by a helpful individual that Sorenson was out, but that he called Sorenson and was told that the box I sought was on Sorenson's desk. I was given the box and saw that it had a shipping label on it with an address that I had not resided in in almost two years. This address was never given to Agent Sorenson, and appeared on none of the correspondence that was exchanged. First he shouldn't have shipped it. Second, if he did, he should have used the return address where I resided, the one on my letterhead, not the one on Robertson which was two years and two addresses ago. By shipping this evidence to an incorrect address without my authorization or instruction, Agent Sorenson caused the chain of custody to be compromised.
9. Upon returning from Bakersfield with the box of evidence on January 29<sup>th</sup> 2007, my girlfriend Brandi Lynn Baker informed me she received a phone call from Agent Tony Sorenson. She proceeded to tell me how he asked some very inappropriate questions and proceeded to slander my character and scare her away from continuing our relationship. I have no (none, zero) felony convictions, nor any drug convictions, and have never caused any person to sustain bodily injury. This is entirely uncalled for, is negligent and constitutes misconduct. This behavior is suspiciously similar to the efforts of "Informational Warfare" perpetrated by DARPA and personnel affiliated with the Alfred Mann Foundation. Ms. Baker has provided a written statement. attached.

To summarize, since I have had Physicians recover these devices from my person, have identified Gerald Loeb as being the individual who performed the implantation, have obtained copies of research contracts via FOI provisions that show misappropriation of federal funding, and uncovered the death of a research subject under questionable circumstance, I have been subject to every form of electronic and information warfare that these individuals possess including abuse of Special Access Project provisions, abuse of Patriot Act provisions used to violate my rights in a criminal manner that is both illegal and unconstitutional, and have learned that National Security letters have been served on other parties which have been used to slander and assail my character while simultaneously silencing the affected parties with a gag order. The abuses have caused me to suffer false allegations, false arrest and when I attempted to alert the FBI and present factual direct evidence, Agent Sorenson compounded the problem with even more rights violations and misconduct. The FBI and other federal agencies need to be reminded that they do not work for the Executive Branch of government! They work for the people who need them the most, and that is the Citizens of the United States of America. You are well aware of the problems I am describing and the instances above portray a clear picture of these abuses. I intend to take this agency to task on this one and am demanding explanation and corrective action. I look forward to your prompt reply.

*Continued...*

Sincerely,

David A. Larson  
P.O. Box 773  
Ridgecrest CA 93556-0773  
760 793-8653

cc: W. Lee Rawls – Chief of Staff  
Candice M. Will – Office of Professional Responsibility  
David Gelios – Chief Agent, Bakersfield FBI  
James Burrus Jr. - Criminal Investigation Chief

*Continued...*